

**ARTICLE 10**<sub>[CPI]</sub>**EMPLOYEE PERFORMANCE EVALUATIONS****10.1 Annual Evaluations.**

(a) Policy. Performance evaluations are primarily intended to communicate to an employee an assessment of that employee's performance of assigned duties by providing written constructive feedback that will assist in improving the employee's performance and expertise. Evaluations may be considered in employment related decisions such as salary, retention, assignments, awards, tenure, and promotion. Each employee's performance shall be evaluated in writing at least once annually. Employees shall be evaluated according to the University Criteria for Annual Performance Evaluations (Section 10.1(d) below).

(b) Annual Evaluation Period. The annual evaluation period shall be the academic year, beginning August 8th, and shall include the preceding summer, as appropriate. The evaluation period for research may be longer than one year if specified in the approved Annual Evaluation Standards and Procedures. The evaluation period used to distinguish between ratings of Conditional and Unsatisfactory in any area of assignment may be longer than one year.

(c) Evaluation Ratings. Evaluations shall use the rating categories of outstanding, above satisfactory, satisfactory, conditional, and unsatisfactory in each area of assignment and for the overall evaluation. The overall evaluation shall be consistent with the employee's annual assignment, the evaluations in each assignment area, and the department or unit's Annual Evaluation Standards and Procedures. An employee shall not be evaluated in and the overall evaluation shall not be affected by an area in which the employee had no assignment. A department or unit's Annual Evaluation Standards and Procedures may require an employee to receive a minimum rating of Satisfactory in each area of assignment with an assignment of effort of five percent (5%) or more in order to receive an overall rating of Satisfactory or above.

(d) University Criteria for Annual Performance Evaluations. The annual performance evaluation shall be based upon the professional performance of assigned duties and shall carefully consider the nature of the assignments and quality of the performance in terms, where applicable, of:

(1) Teaching effectiveness, including effectiveness in imparting knowledge, information, and ideas by means or methods such as lecture, discussion, assignment and recitation, demonstration, laboratory exercise, workshop, practical experience, student perceptions of instruction, assessment of and engagement with student work, and direct consultation with students.

a. The evaluation shall include consideration of effectiveness in imparting knowledge and skills, and effectiveness in stimulating students' critical thinking and/or creative abilities, the development or revision of curriculum and course structure, effective student performance evaluation procedures, and adherence to accepted standards of professional behavior in meeting responsibilities to students. The learning objectives of each course, the means of assessing learning objectives, and the outcomes of the assessment should be assessed as part of the teaching performance.

b. The evaluation shall include consideration of other assigned university teaching duties, such as advising, counseling, supervision, or duties of the position held by the employee.

47 c. The department chair or unit head (or “evaluator”) [CP2] shall take into account  
48 any relevant materials submitted by the employee such as class notes, syllabi, student  
49 exams and assignments, an employee’s teaching portfolio, results of peer evaluations of  
50 teaching, and any other materials relevant to the employee’s instructional assignment.

51 d. The evaluator shall consider all information available in forming an  
52 assessment of teaching effectiveness.

53 (2) Contribution to the discovery of new knowledge, development of new educational  
54 techniques, and other forms of research/scholarship/creative activity.

55 a. Evidence of research/scholarship/creative activity, either print or electronic,  
56 shall include, but not be limited to, as appropriate, published books; chapters in books;  
57 articles and papers in professional journals; musical compositions, paintings, sculpture;  
58 works of performing art; papers presented at meetings of professional societies; funded  
59 grant activities; reviews; and research and creative activity that has not yet resulted in  
60 publication, funding, display, or performance.

61 b. The evaluation shall include consideration of the quality and quantity of the  
62 employee’s research/scholarship and other creative programs and contributions during the  
63 evaluation period, and recognition by the academic or professional community of what has  
64 been accomplished.

65 (3) Performance of assigned professional duties.

66 (4) Public service that extends professional or discipline-related contributions to the  
67 community; the state, including public schools; and the national and international  
68 community. Such service includes contributions to scholarly and professional conferences  
69 and organizations, governmental boards, agencies, and commissions that are beneficial to  
70 such groups and individuals.

71 (5) Service within the university and participation in the governance processes of the  
72 institution through significant service on committees, councils, and senates, attendance at  
73 commencement, and the employee’s contributions to the governance of the institution  
74 through participation in regular departmental or college meetings.

75 (6) Service for UFF activities is not considered university service and shall not be  
76 evaluated.

77 (7) Other assigned university duties such as academic administration.

78 (e) Annual Evaluation Standards and Procedures (AESPs). Each University department  
79 or unit shall maintain written AESPs by which to evaluate each employee according to the  
80 University Criteria for Annual Performance Evaluations specified in this article. AESPs shall  
81 be clarifications of the University criteria in terms tailored to the department or unit’s  
82 discipline (s), employee positions (e.g., tenured or tenure earning, non-tenure-earning,  
83 library faculty), and assigned duties. These discipline-specific clarifications shall:

84 (1) take into consideration the University’s mission, the college’s or division’s  
85 mission the department’s mission, and the expectations for the different ranks;

86 (2) be adaptable to various assigned duties;

87 (3) address, as appropriate, how various research/scholarship/creative activities are  
88 valued and the outlets in which employees might be expected to publish, exhibit, or perform.

89 (4) be rigorous and detailed enough that a reasonable employee should not be  
90 uncertain or confused about what performance or accomplishment is sufficient in teaching,  
91 research/scholarship/creative activity, professional duties commonly assigned in the  
92 department or unit, and service to earn each performance evaluation rating. The  
93 clarifications shall identify for each assignment area some representative examples of the  
94 achievements or performance characteristics that would earn each performance evaluation  
95 rating, consistent with an employee’s assigned duties. Examples shall be included for

96 typical assignments within the department or unit (e.g., for 2-2 and 3-2 teaching  
97 assignments with correspondingly larger and smaller research assignments, if typically  
98 assigned), and must demonstrate the equitable opportunity required by (2) above.

99 (f) Process for developing AESPs.

100 (1) In tenure-granting departments or units, a committee of six members including  
101 four unit employees (at least two must have tenure) elected by the employees in the unit,  
102 the department chair or unit head, and one representative appointed by the dean will  
103 develop or revise AESPs. If a department or unit has fewer than two tenured employees,  
104 the entire department or unit shall vote to elect up to four employees to serve on the  
105 committee, along with the department chair or unit head and one member appointed by the  
106 dean.

107 (2) Employees in the department or unit shall propose AESPs or changes thereto as  
108 developed by the committee by a majority vote in a secret ballot. If a majority exists, the  
109 proposed AESPs shall be forwarded to the dean or the appropriate vice president.

110 (3) The proposed AESPs or revisions thereto shall be reviewed by the dean or vice  
111 president. If the dean/vice president determines the proposed AESPs do not meet their  
112 expectations, the dean/vice president may refer them back to the department or unit for  
113 revision with a written statement of the reasons for non-acceptance.

114 (4) Once the dean or vice president determines the proposed AESPs or revisions  
115 are acceptable, they shall be forwarded to the university's representative for review to  
116 ensure they are consistent with the mission and goals of the University and comply with this  
117 Agreement. If the university's representative determines that the proposed AESPs or  
118 revisions thereto are acceptable, they shall be approved. If not, they shall be referred back  
119 to the college or division for revision by the department or unit with a written statement of  
120 reasons for non-approval.

121 (5) If, one year after the initiation of the process described in this subsection, AESPs  
122 acceptable to the dean/vice president and university's representative have not been  
123 approved by the department or unit, draft AESPs, committee and department votes, and  
124 comments from employees, committee, and the dean/vice president shall be forwarded to  
125 the university's representative for consideration. The university's representative shall, in  
126 conjunction with the dean/vice president and department head, and in consideration of the  
127 opinions of the employees and of approved AESPs for other departments and units,  
128 develop and institute new department or unit AESPs. These AESPs shall remain in place  
129 until such time as new AESPs are developed and approved according to the procedure  
130 outlined in this subsection.

131 (6) Approved AESPs and revisions thereto shall be kept on file in the department or  
132 unit office. Upon written request, employees in each department or unit shall be provided an  
133 electronic copy of that department or unit's current AESPs.

134 (7) Review of AESPs must occur on a regular basis and must begin no later than  
135 five (5) years after the adoption or most recent review of those AESPs. The university's  
136 representative, the dean, or a majority of employees in the department or unit may initiate  
137 the review of AESPs at any time. The process for reviewing a department or unit's AESPs  
138 shall be the same as the process for developing them, as described in this article. The  
139 effective date for AESPs or revisions thereto shall be the start of the annual evaluation  
140 period that begins after the date the AESPs or revisions are approved by the university's  
141 representative and the employees of the department or unit are so informed in writing.

142 (g) Process for and Sources of Evaluation.

143 (1) Employee Annual Report. Every year, each employee shall submit to the  
144 department chair or unit head ([or hereafter](#), "evaluator") a report of the employee's

145 performance in each area of assignment. This report shall be due to the evaluator on May 7  
146 of each year. The evaluator supervisor, may, at the written request from the employee,  
147 provide an extension of up to twenty-one days to submit the annual report. The employee  
148 annual report may include any interpretive comments and supporting data that the  
149 employee deems appropriate for evaluating the employee's performance and shall also  
150 include an up-to-date and accurate CV. The employee shall submit the report in the format  
151 determined by the college.

152 (2) The evaluator shall also consider, where appropriate and available, information  
153 from the following sources: immediate supervisor (if different from the evaluator), peers,  
154 students, employee, other university officials who have responsibility for supervision of the  
155 employee, and individuals to whom the employee may be responsible in the course of a  
156 service assignment, including public school officials when the employee has a service  
157 assignment to the public schools. Copies of materials to be used in the evaluation process  
158 submitted by persons other than the employee shall be provided to the employee, who may  
159 attach a written response within thirty days of receiving that document.

160 (3) All assigned activities for which an employee receives compensation from the  
161 university, including summer assignments, shall be reported upon and evaluated. An  
162 employee may report activities related to the areas of assignment that are performed during  
163 times when the employee is not compensated by the university; if reported upon, these  
164 activities shall be evaluated.

165 (4) Observation/Visitation. The evaluator or the evaluator's representative may  
166 conduct classroom observation/visitation in connection with the employee's evaluation. If  
167 such classroom observations/visitations are conducted, no fewer than two  
168 observations/visitations shall be completed during the evaluation period.

169 a. Absent immediate concerns described below, the evaluator shall notify the  
170 employee at least two days in advance of the date and time of any direct classroom  
171 observation or visitation. If the employee determines this date is not appropriate because of  
172 the nature of the scheduled class activities, the employee may suggest a more appropriate  
173 date.

174 b. If the evaluator has received a complaint or other information that gives rise  
175 to immediate concerns about the conduct of the class, the evaluator or the evaluator's  
176 representative may observe or visit the class at any time without notice to the employee.

177 c. Observation/visitation of online classroom settings is permitted at any time.

178 d. A written report of the observation/visitation shall be submitted to the  
179 employee, if the employee requests a report, within two weeks of the observation/visitation.  
180 If the observation/visitation involves a course that was assigned to the employee with less  
181 than six weeks' notice, such change shall be noted in the report. The employee shall be  
182 offered the opportunity to discuss the evaluation with the evaluator prior to its being finalized  
183 and placed in the employee's evaluation file and may submit a written reply within thirty  
184 days of receipt, which shall be attached to the report.

185 e. Peer Assessment. An employee has the right to have the evaluator assign a  
186 peer to observe/visit the employee's teaching and to have an assessment of that  
187 observation/visitation included as part of the employee's annual report. A department or unit  
188 may require peer observation/visitation, which shall be carried out in accordance with the  
189 requirements of this subsection. In these cases, the peer may be a colleague within the  
190 University, a retired colleague, or a colleague in the same discipline from another university.

191 (5) Written Evaluation.

192 a. The proposed written annual evaluation shall be provided to the employee **at**  
193 **by** the start of the fall semester. Annual evaluations are not required for employees who

194 have been non-reappointed or whose employment ends before December 31 of the new  
195 academic year.

196 b. The employee shall be offered the opportunity to discuss the evaluation with  
197 the evaluator prior to its being finalized and placed in the employee's evaluation file. The  
198 evaluation shall be signed and dated by the evaluator and by the employee, to acknowledge  
199 receipt of it. The employee may attach a concise comment to the evaluation within thirty  
200 days of receipt. A copy of the evaluation shall be provided to the employee.

201 c. Upon written request from the employee, the evaluator shall endeavor to  
202 assist the employee in addressing any performance deficiencies.  
203

## 204 **10.2 Cumulative Progress Evaluations.**

205 (a) Policy. Tenure earning or tenured employees eligible for consideration for promotion  
206 to the rank of associate professor and/or tenure shall be informed annually of their progress  
207 toward promotion and/or tenure. Each year's cumulative progress evaluation shall build  
208 upon prior cumulative progress evaluations so an employee's progress toward tenure  
209 and/or promotion in a given year will be viewed in the context of attainments over the entire  
210 tenure and/or promotion earning period. Employees eligible for promotion to professor  
211 shall<sup>[CP3]</sup> be similarly apprised of their progress toward promotion at least once prior to  
212 submitting their promotion dossier. The cumulative progress evaluations are intended to  
213 provide an accurate assessment of cumulative performance as leading to attainment of  
214 promotion and/or tenure, and to provide assistance and counseling to candidates to help  
215 them qualify themselves for tenure and/or promotion.

216 (b) Process. All cumulative progress evaluations shall be completed during the spring  
217 semester. Beginning with the second year of employment (or the first year, if tenure credit  
218 was given) and continuing annually, an employee who is eligible for tenure and/or  
219 promotion to the rank of associate professor shall receive a cumulative progress evaluation.  
220 Separate cumulative progress evaluations shall be provided by the tenured members of the  
221 department or unit (excluding the chair/head and dean), the chair/head, and dean. For  
222 cumulative evaluations of progress towards promotion to professor, only tenured professors  
223 participate in the employee's evaluation. If the department or unit has fewer than three  
224 tenured members or tenured professors, as appropriate, the dean may increase the  
225 committee membership to three using tenured members of appropriate rank from other  
226 departments or units. If the chair/head of the department or unit does not hold the rank of  
227 professor or is not a tenured member of the department/unit, the dean may appoint a  
228 tenured faculty member of an appropriate rank from another department/unit to serve in this  
229 role for the purpose of completing the cumulative progress evaluations. The employee may  
230 request, in writing, a meeting with the chair/head and/or dean to discuss concerns regarding  
231 the cumulative progress evaluation.

232 (c) Criteria.

233 (1) Progress toward the promotion to the rank of associate professor with tenure will  
234 be assessed based on professional performance of teaching, research, and service, and  
235 the likelihood of future contributions at or exceeding current levels of performance.

236 (2) Progress toward tenure for tenure-earning associate professors will be assessed  
237 based on the professional performance of teaching, research, and service, and the  
238 likelihood of future contributions at or exceeding current levels of performance.

239 (3) Progress toward tenure for tenure-earning professors will be assessed based on  
240 the professional performance of teaching, research, and service, the achievement of

241 national and/or international prominence, evidence of advancing their field of study, and the  
242 likelihood of future contributions at or exceeding current levels of performance.

243 (4) When requested by the employee, progress toward the rank of professor will be  
244 assessed based on the professional performance of teaching, research, and service, the  
245 achievement of national and/or international prominence, evidence of advancing their field  
246 of study, and the likelihood of future contributions at or exceeding current levels of  
247 performance.  
248

### 249 **10.3 Sustained Performance Evaluations.**

250 (a) Policy. Tenured employees shall receive a sustained performance evaluation at least  
251 once every three years following the award of tenure or their most recent promotion. The  
252 purpose of this evaluation is to document sustained performance during the previous three  
253 years of assigned duties to evaluate continued professional growth and development.

254 (b) Process.

255 (1) At the end of three<sup>[CP4]</sup> years of tenured or post-promotion service, and each  
256 subsequent three year period, or at any time the employee has not maintained productivity  
257 expectations, an employee's sustained performance will be evaluated. This evaluation will  
258 consist of a review of the overall annual evaluation ratings and productivity during that  
259 period of interest. If the employee's overall performance is deemed to be below satisfactory,  
260 then the employee shall be issued a performance improvement plan. The average shall be  
261 determined by assigning a value of 4 for Outstanding, 3 for Above Satisfactory, 2 for  
262 Satisfactory, 1 for Conditional, and 0 for Unsatisfactory to each of the employee's annual  
263 evaluation ratings over the appropriate period. If the average value is less than 2.0, the  
264 employee's performance shall be deemed below satisfactory.

265 (2)<sup>[CPS]</sup> A performance improvement plan shall be developed by the department chair  
266 or unit head and shall include specific measurable performance targets with target dates  
267 that must be completed in a period of two years. The performance improvement plan  
268 requires the approval of the dean and the university's representative.

269 (3) When an employee has a performance improvement plan, the department chair  
270 or unit head shall provide an annual evaluation of the employee's performance on the plan.  
271 Adherence to the performance improvement plan, including its targets and target deadlines,  
272 shall be the governing criteria for performance improvement plan evaluations.

273 (4) It is the responsibility of the employee to attain the performance targets specified  
274 in the performance improvement plan. Lack of success may result in dismissal. The  
275 employee may attach a concise response to the sustained performance evaluation, the  
276 performance improvement plan, and annual evaluations of performance on the sustained  
277 performance plan. Any such responses shall be included in the evaluation file.  
278

### 279 **10.4 Proficiency in Spoken English.**

280 (a) Requirement. Employees must, to be involved in classroom instruction beyond one  
281 (1) semester, establish proficiency in the oral use of English, as set forth in Section  
282 1012.93, Florida Statutes, and any applicable Board of Education or Board of Governors  
283 rule or resolution.

284 (b) Deficiency. Failure to correct the deficiencies may result in termination.  
285

286 **10.5 Employee Assistance Programs.** Neither the fact of an employee's participation  
287 in an employee assistance program nor information generated by participation in the  
288 program shall be used as evidence of a performance deficiency within the evaluation

289 processes described in this Article, except for information relating to an employee's failure  
290 to participate in an employee assistance program consistent with the terms to which the  
291 employee and the university's representative have agreed.